

A NON-CLP-COMPACT PRODUCT SPACE WHOSE FINITE SUBPRODUCTS ARE CLP-COMPACT

ANDREA MEDINI

ABSTRACT. We construct a family of Hausdorff spaces such that every finite product of spaces in the family (possibly with repetitions) is CLP-compact, while the product of all spaces in the family is non-CLP-compact. Our example will yield a single Hausdorff space X such that every finite power of X is CLP-compact, while no infinite power of X is CLP-compact. This answers a question of Steprāns and Šostak.

For all undefined topological notions, see [4]. Recall that a subset of a topological space is *clopen* if it is closed and open.

Definition 1. A space X is *CLP-compact* if every cover of X consisting of clopen sets has a finite subcover.

Using methods of Steprāns and Šostak (see Section 4 in [6]), we will construct a family $\{X_i : i \in \omega\}$ of Hausdorff spaces such that $\prod_{i \in n} X_{p(i)}$ is CLP-compact whenever $n \in \omega$ and $p : n \rightarrow \omega$, while the full product $P = \prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$ is not. In order to make sure that P is non-CLP-compact, we will use an idea from [1]; see also Example 8.28 in [8]. Our example answers the first half of Question 6.3 in [6]; see also Question 7.1 in [3]. For a positive result on (finite) products of CLP-compact spaces, see [7].

In the last section, using ideas from [5] and [2], we will convert the example described above into a single Hausdorff space X such that X^n is CLP-compact for every $n \in \omega$, while X^κ is non-CLP-compact for every infinite cardinal κ . This answers the second half of Question 6.3 in [6] (see Corollary 13).

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of non-empty closed subsets of $\mathbb{N}^*$. Define $X(\mathcal{F})$ as the topological space with underlying set $\mathbb{N} \cup \mathcal{F}$ and with the coarsest topology satisfying the following requirements.

- The singleton $\{n\}$ is open for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- For every $K \in \mathcal{F}$, the set $\{K\} \cup A$ is open whenever $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is such that $K \subseteq A^*$.

Our example will be obtained by setting $X_i = X(\mathcal{F}_i)$ for every $i \in \omega$, where $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ is the family constructed in Theorem 11.

Proposition 2 (Steprāns and Šostak). *Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ consists of pairwise disjoint sets. Then $X(\mathcal{F})$ is a Hausdorff space.*

Proof. Use the fact that disjoint closed sets in $\mathbb{N}^*$ can be separated by a clopen set. $\square$

1. FINITE PRODUCTS

We will use the following notion to ensure the CLP-compactness of finite products.

Definition 3. Fix $n \in \omega$. A product $X = \prod_{i \in n} X_i$ is *CLP-rectangular* if for every clopen set $U \subseteq X$ and every $x \in U$ there exists a clopen rectangle $R \subseteq X$ such that $x \in R \subseteq U$.

For the proof of the following proposition, see Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 in [6]; see also Theorem 3.4 in [3].

Proposition 4 (Steprāns and Šostak). *Fix $n \in \omega$. Assume that X_i is CLP-compact for every $i \in n$. Then $\prod_{i \in n} X_i$ is CLP-compact if and only if it is CLP-rectangular.*

From now on, we will always assume that $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a collection of non-empty pairwise disjoint closed subsets of $\mathbb{N}^*$ for every $i \in \omega$. Given $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$, we will denote by $n(p) \in \omega$ the domain of p . We will use the notation

$$X_p = \prod_{i \in n(p)} X(\mathcal{F}_{p(i)})$$

for finite products, where repetitions of factors are allowed. Also, if $i \in n(p)$, we will denote by X_{p-i} the subproduct $\prod_{j \in n(p) \setminus \{i\}} X(\mathcal{F}_{p(j)})$.

The following definitions isolate the multidimensional versions of ‘finiteness’ and ‘cofiniteness’ that we need. For every $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ and $N \in \omega$, we will denote the union of the ‘initial stripes of height N ’ as

$$S_p^N = \bigcup_{i \in n(p)} \{x \in X_p : x_i \in N\} \subseteq X_p.$$

Also define

$$T_p^N = X_p \setminus S_p^N = \prod_{i \in n(p)} (X(\mathcal{F}_{p(i)}) \setminus N).$$

Proposition 5. *Assume that for every $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ and every clopen set $U \subseteq X_p$, either $U \subseteq S_p^N$ or $T_p^N \subseteq U$ for some $N \in \omega$. Then X_p is CLP-compact for every $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$.*

Proof. We will use induction on $n(p)$. The case $n(p) = 1$ is obvious. So assume that X_p is CLP-compact for every $p \in {}^n\omega$ and let $p \in {}^{n+1}\omega$. By Proposition 4, it is enough to prove that X_p is CLP-rectangular. So let $U \subseteq X_p$ be a clopen set and fix $N \in \omega$ such that $U \subseteq S_p^N$ or $T_p^N \subseteq U$. We will show that for every $x \in U$ there exists a clopen rectangle $R \subseteq X_p$ such that $x \in R \subseteq U$.

First we will assume that $x \in U$ has at least one coordinate in N , say coordinate $i \in n(p)$. It is easy to check that the cross-section

$$V = \{y \in X_{p-i} : y \cup \{(i, x_i)\} \in U\}$$

is clopen in X_{p-i} . Observe that X_{p-i} is homeomorphic to $X_{p'}$ for some $p' \in {}^n\omega$. Therefore, by the inductive hypothesis and Proposition 4, there exists a clopen rectangle $Q \subseteq X_{p-i}$ such that $\pi_{p-i}(x) \in Q \subseteq V$, where $\pi_{p-i} : X_p \rightarrow X_{p-i}$ is the natural projection. It is clear that the desired clopen rectangle is $R = \{y \in X_p : \pi_{p-i}(y) \in Q \text{ and } y_i = x_i\}$.

On the other hand, if $x \in U$ has no coordinate in N then the case $U \subseteq S_p^N$ is impossible. Therefore $T_p^N \subseteq U$, so that the desired clopen rectangle is $R = T_p^N$ itself. $\square$

We will also need the following definitions. Let

$$\mathbb{S}_p^N = S_p^N \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}) = \bigcup_{i \in n(p)} \{x \in \mathbb{N}^{n(p)} : x_i \in N\},$$

$$\mathbb{T}_p^N = T_p^N \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}) = \mathbb{N}^{n(p)} \setminus \mathbb{S}_p^N = (\mathbb{N} \setminus N)^{n(p)}.$$

The next two lemmas show that, in order to achieve what is required by Proposition 5, we can just look at the trace of clopen sets on $\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}$.

Lemma 6. *Fix $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$. Assume that $U \subseteq X_p$ is a clopen set such that $U \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_p^N$. Then $U \subseteq S_p^N$.*

Proof. Assume, in order to get a contradiction, that $x \in U \setminus S_p^N$. For all $i \in n(p)$ such that $x_i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $N_i = \{x_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus N$. For all $i \in n(p)$ such that $x_i \in \mathcal{F}_{p(i)}$, let $N_i = \{x_i\} \cup A_i$ be a neighborhood of x_i in $X(\mathcal{F}_{p(i)})$ such that $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus N$. Since U is open, by shrinking each N_i if necessary, we can make sure that $\prod_{i \in n(p)} N_i \subseteq U$. This is a contradiction, because $\emptyset \neq (\prod_{i \in n(p)} N_i) \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}) \subseteq \mathbb{T}_p^N$. $\square$

Similarly, one can prove the following.

Lemma 7. *Fix $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$. Assume that $U \subseteq X_p$ is a clopen set such that $\mathbb{T}_p^N \subseteq U \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)})$. Then $T_p^N \subseteq U$.*

Fix $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$. We will say that a subset D of $\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}$ is *diagonal* if $D \not\subseteq \mathbb{S}_p^N$ and $\mathbb{T}_p^N \not\subseteq D$ for all $N \in \omega$ and the restriction $\pi_i \upharpoonright D$ of the natural projection $\pi_i : \mathbb{N}^{n(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective for every $i \in n(p)$.

Given $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$, we will say that a pair (D, E) is *p-diagonal* if D and E are both diagonal subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}$. A pair (D, E) is *diagonal* if it is *p-diagonal* for some p as above. If (D, E) is such a pair, consider the following statement.

$\clubsuit(D, E)$ There exist $K_0, \dots, K_{n(p)-1}$, with $K_i \in \mathcal{F}_{p(i)}$ for every $i \in n(p)$, such that $D \cap (A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n(p)-1})$ and $E \cap (A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n(p)-1})$ are both non-empty whenever $A_0, \dots, A_{n(p)-1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $K_i \subseteq A_i^*$ for every $i \in n(p)$.

Proposition 8. *Fix $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$. Assume that the family $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ is such that condition $\clubsuit(D, E)$ holds for every *p-diagonal* pair (D, E) . If $U \subseteq X_p$ is a clopen set, then there exists $N \in \omega$ such that either $U \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_p^N$ or $\mathbb{T}_p^N \subseteq U \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)})$.*

Proof. Assume, in order to get a contradiction, that $U \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}) \not\subseteq \mathbb{S}_p^N$ and $\mathbb{T}_p^N \not\subseteq U \cap (\mathbb{N}^{n(p)})$ for every $N \in \omega$. Then it is possible to construct (in ω steps) diagonal subsets D and E of $\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}$ such that $D \subseteq U$ and $E \subseteq X_p \setminus U$.

Now let $K_0, \dots, K_{n(p)-1}$ be as given by condition $\clubsuit(D, E)$. Define $x \in X_p$ by setting $x_i = K_i$ for every $i \in n(p)$. It is easy to see that $x \in \overline{U} \cap (\overline{X_p} \setminus U)$, which contradicts the fact that U is clopen. $\square$

Theorem 9. *Assume that the family $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ is such that condition $\clubsuit(D, E)$ holds for every diagonal pair (D, E) . Then X_p is CLP-compact for every $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$.*

Proof. We will show that the hypothesis of Proposition 5 holds. This follows from Proposition 8, Lemma 6 and Lemma 7. $\square$

2. THE FULL PRODUCT

In this section we will show how to ensure that $P = \prod_{i \in \omega} X(\mathcal{F}_i)$ is non-CLP-compact. Consider the following condition. Recall that a family $\mathcal{L}$ is *linked* if $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$ whenever $K, L \in \mathcal{L}$.

$\clubsuit$ For every $I \in [\omega]^\omega$, the family $\mathcal{L} = \{x_i : i \in I\}$ is not linked for any $x \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$.

Theorem 10. *Assume that the family $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ is such that condition $\clubsuit$ holds. Then $P = \prod_{i \in \omega} X(\mathcal{F}_i)$ can be written as the disjoint union of infinitely many of its non-empty clopen subsets.*

Proof. For each $n \in \omega$, define

$$U_n = \{x \in P : x_i = n \text{ whenever } 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

It is easy to check that each U_n is open (actually, clopen), non-empty, and that $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. Therefore we just need to show that $V = P \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is open.

So fix $x \in V$ and consider $I = \{i \in \omega : x_i \notin \mathbb{N}\}$. First assume that I is finite. If there exist $i, j \notin I$, say with $i < j$, such that $x_i \neq x_j$ then $\{y \in P : y_i = x_i \text{ and } y_j = x_j\} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in j} U_n$ is an open neighborhood of x which is contained in V . So assume that $x_i = x_j$ whenever $i, j \notin I$. Since $x \in V$, we must have $I \neq \emptyset$. So fix $i \in I$ and $j \notin I$, say with $i < j$ (the other case is similar). Let $N_i = \{x_i\} \cup A_i$ be a neighborhood of x_i such that $x_j \notin A_i$. Then $\{y \in P : y_i \in N_i \text{ and } y_j = x_j\} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in j} U_n$ is an open neighborhood of x which is contained in V .

Finally, assume that I is infinite. An application of condition $\mathfrak{A}$ yields $i, j \in I$, say with $i < j$, such that $x_i \cap x_j = \emptyset$. But disjoint closed sets in $\mathbb{N}^*$ can be separated by a clopen set, therefore we can find disjoint clopen neighborhoods N_i and N_j of x_i and x_j respectively. Then $\{y \in P : y_i \in N_i \text{ and } y_j \in N_j\} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in j} U_n$ is an open neighborhood of x which is contained in V . $\square$

3. THE CONSTRUCTION

The next theorem guarantees the existence of our example: finite products will be CLP-compact by Theorem 9, while the full product will be non-CLP-compact by Theorem 10.

Theorem 11. *There exists a family $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ satisfying the following requirements.*

- Each $\mathcal{F}_i$ consists of pairwise disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{N}^*$ of finite size.
- The condition $\clubsuit(D, E)$ holds for every diagonal pair (D, E) .
- The condition $\mathfrak{A}$ holds.

Proof. Enumerate as $\{(D_\eta, E_\eta) : \eta \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ all diagonal pairs, where D_η and E_η are both diagonal subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{n(p)}$ for some $p = p(\eta) \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ with domain $n(p) = n(\eta) \in \omega$.

We will construct $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ by transfinite recursion in $\mathfrak{c}$ steps: in the end we will set $\mathcal{F}_i = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{F}_i^\xi$ for every $i \in \omega$. Start with $\mathcal{F}_i^0 = \emptyset$ for each i . By induction, we will make sure that the following requirements are satisfied.

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_i^\eta \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i^\mu$ whenever $\eta \leq \mu \in \mathfrak{c}$.
- (2) $|\bigcup_{i \in \omega} \bigcup \mathcal{F}_i^\eta| < 2^\mathfrak{c}$ for every $\eta \in \mathfrak{c}$.

- (3) The condition $\clubsuit(D_\eta, E_\eta)$, where (D_η, E_η) is a p -diagonal pair, is satisfied at stage $\xi = \eta + 1$: that is, the witness K_i is already in $\mathcal{F}_{p(i)}^{\eta+1}$ for each $i \in n(p)$.

At a limit stage ξ , just let $\mathcal{F}_i^\xi = \bigcup_{\eta \in \xi} \mathcal{F}_i^\eta$ for every $i \in \omega$.

At a successor stage $\xi = \eta + 1$, assume that $\mathcal{F}_i^\eta$ is given for each i . Let $p = p(\eta)$. First, define $W = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \bigcup \mathcal{F}_i^\eta$ and observe that $|W| < 2^\omega$ by (2). Set $\tau_i = \pi_i \upharpoonright D_\eta$ for every $i \in n(p)$. Since each τ_i is injective, it makes sense to consider the induced function $\tau_i^* : D_\eta^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$. Recall that the explicit definition is given by

$$\tau_i^*(\mathcal{U}) = \{S \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \tau_i^{-1}[S] \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

It is easy to check that each τ_i^* is injective. Therefore, since $|D_\eta^*| = 2^\omega$, it is possible to choose

$$\mathcal{U}^\eta \in D_\eta^* \setminus ((\tau_0^*)^{-1}[W] \cup \dots \cup (\tau_{n(p)-1}^*)^{-1}[W]).$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_i^\eta = \tau_i^*(\mathcal{U}^\eta)$ for every $i \in n(p)$.

Now, define $Z = W \cup \{\mathcal{U}_i^\eta : i \in n(p)\}$. Set $\sigma_i = \pi_i \upharpoonright E_\eta$ for every $i \in n(p)$. As above, it is possible to choose

$$\mathcal{V}^\eta \in E_\eta^* \setminus ((\sigma_0^*)^{-1}[Z] \cup \dots \cup (\sigma_{n(p)-1}^*)^{-1}[Z]).$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_i^\eta = \sigma_i^*(\mathcal{V}^\eta)$ for every $i \in n(p)$.

We conclude the successor stage by setting

$$\mathcal{F}_k^{\eta+1} = \mathcal{F}_k^\eta \cup \{\{\mathcal{U}_i^\eta : i \in p^{-1}(k)\} \cup \{\mathcal{V}_i^\eta : i \in p^{-1}(k)\}\}$$

for every $k \in \text{ran}(p)$ and $\mathcal{F}_k^{\eta+1} = \mathcal{F}_k^\eta$ for every $k \in \omega \setminus \text{ran}(p)$.

Next, we will verify that condition $\clubsuit$ holds. Assume, in order to get a contradiction, that $I \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $x \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ are such that $\mathcal{L} = \{x_i : i \in I\}$ is linked. Observe that the only possible equalities among points of $\mathbb{N}^*$ produced in our construction are those in the form $\mathcal{U}_i^\eta = \mathcal{U}_j^\eta$ or $\mathcal{V}_i^\eta = \mathcal{V}_j^\eta$ for some $i, j \in n(\eta)$. Therefore each element of $\mathcal{L}$ must have been added to some $\mathcal{F}_k$ at the same stage $\xi = \eta + 1$. Since I is infinite, we can pick $k \in I \setminus \text{ran}(p(\eta))$. It is clear from the construction that $x_k \in \mathcal{L}$ cannot have been added to $\mathcal{F}_k$ at stage $\xi = \eta + 1$.

Finally, we will verify that (3) holds. For every $i \in n(p)$, set

$$K_i = \{\mathcal{U}_j^\eta : j \in p^{-1}(p(i))\} \cup \{\mathcal{V}_j^\eta : j \in p^{-1}(p(i))\} \in \mathcal{F}_{p(i)}^{\eta+1}.$$

Suppose $A_0, \dots, A_{n(p)-1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are such that $K_i \subseteq A_i^*$ for every $i \in n(p)$. In particular $A_i \in \mathcal{U}_i^\eta$ for every $i \in n(p)$. By the definition of the induced functions, we have $\tau_i^{-1}[A_i] \in \mathcal{U}^\eta$ for every $i \in n(p)$. Therefore

$$D_\eta \cap (A_0 \times \dots \times A_{n(p)-1}) = D_\eta \cap \tau_0^{-1}[A_0] \cap \dots \cap \tau_{n(p)-1}^{-1}[A_{n(p)-1}]$$

is non-empty. By the same argument, using $\mathcal{V}^n$, one can show that $E_\eta \cap (A_0 \times \cdots \times A_{n(p)-1})$ is non-empty. $\square$

4. ARBITRARILY LARGE PRODUCTS

The main idea behind the next theorem is due to Frolík (see the ‘Proof of B (using B’)’ in [5]). To show that X^ω can be written as the disjoint union of infinitely many of its non-empty clopen subsets, we will proceed as in the proof of Theorem 9.10 in [2].

Theorem 12. *There exists a Hausdorff space X such that X^n is CLP-compact for every $n \in \omega$, while X^ω can be written as the disjoint union of infinitely many of its non-empty clopen subsets.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \omega\}$ be the family given by Theorem 11 and set $X_i = X(\mathcal{F}_i)$ for every $i \in \omega$. It follows from Theorem 9 and Theorem 10 that $\{X_i : i \in \omega\}$ is a collection of Hausdorff spaces such that $X_p = \prod_{i \in n(p)} X_{p(i)}$ is CLP-compact for every $p \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$, while $\prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$ can be written as the disjoint union of infinitely many of its non-empty clopen subsets.

Define X as the topological space with underlying set the disjoint union $\{0\} \oplus X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus \cdots$ and with the coarsest topology satisfying the following requirements.

- Whenever U is an open subset of X_i for some $i \in \omega$, the set U is also open in X .
- The tail $\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i \leq j < \omega} X_j$ is open in X for every $i \in \omega$.

It is easy to check that X is Hausdorff.

We will prove that X^n is CLP-compact by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is obvious. So assume that X^n is CLP-compact and consider a cover $\mathcal{C}$ of X^{n+1} consisting of clopen sets. Since

$$S = \bigcup_{i \in n+1} \{x \in X^{n+1} : x_i = 0\}$$

is a finite union of subspaces of X^{n+1} that are homeomorphic to X^n , there exist $\mathcal{D} \in [\mathcal{C}]^{<\omega}$ such that $S \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{D}$. It follows that there exists $N \in \omega$ such that $X^{n+1} \setminus (X_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{N-1})^{n+1} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{D}$. But

$$T = (X_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{N-1})^{n+1}$$

is homeomorphic to a finite union of spaces of the form X_p for some $p \in {}^{n+1}\omega$, hence it is CLP-compact. Therefore there exists $\mathcal{E} \in [\mathcal{C}]^{<\omega}$ such that $T \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{E}$. Hence $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{E}$ is the desired finite subcover of $\mathcal{C}$.

Finally, we will show that X^ω can be written as the disjoint union of infinitely many of its non-empty clopen subsets by constructing a continuous surjection $f : X^\omega \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$. Since every X_i is clopen in

X , we can get a continuous surjection $f_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ by letting f_i be the identity on X_i and constant on $X \setminus X_i$. Now simply let $f = \prod_{i \in \omega} f_i$ (that is, for every $x \in X^\omega$, define $y = f(x)$ by setting $y_i = f_i(x_i)$ for every $i \in \omega$). $\square$

Corollary 13. *For every infinite cardinal κ , there exists a collection $\{X_\xi : \xi \in \kappa\}$ of Hausdorff spaces such that $\prod_{\xi \in F} X_\xi$ is CLP-compact for every $F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}$, while $\prod_{\xi \in \kappa} X_\xi$ is non-CLP-compact.*

Proof. Let $X_\xi = X$ for every $\xi \in \kappa$, where X is the space given by Theorem 12. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Wistar Comfort for valuable bibliographical informations.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. W. COMFORT. A nonpseudocompact product space whose finite subproducts are pseudocompact. *Math. Ann.* **170** (1967), 41–44.
- [2] W. W. COMFORT AND S. NEGREPONTIS. *Chain conditions in topology*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 79. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982.
- [3] D. DIKRANJAN. CLP-compactness for topological spaces and groups. *Topology Appl.* **154:7** (2007), 1321–1340.
- [4] R. ENGELKING. *General topology*. Revised and completed edition. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] Z. FROLÍK. On two problems of W. W. Comfort. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **8** (1967), 139–144.
- [6] J. STEPRĀNS AND A. ŠOSTAK. Restricted compactness properties and their preservation under products. *Topology Appl.* **101:3** (2000), 213–229.
- [7] J. STEPRĀNS. Products of sequential CLP-compact spaces are CLP-compact. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **143:1-3** (2006), 155–157.
- [8] R. C. WALKER. *The Stone-Čech compactification*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS DEPT.
E-mail address: medini@math.wisc.edu